Quantum–Plasma Consciousness and the Ecology of the Cross

Quantum Physics, Plasma Cosmology, Consciousness Studies, and Ecological Theology

Sam Harrelson
PhD Student (Ecology, Spirituality, and Religion), California Institute of Integral Studies
December, 2025
sharrelson@mymail.ciis.edu

Abstract

This paper develops a relational cosmology, quantum–plasma consciousness, that integrates recent insights from plasma astrophysics, quantum foundations, quantum biology, consciousness studies, and ecological theology. Across these disciplines, a shared picture is emerging: the universe is not composed of isolated substances but of dynamic, interdependent processes. Plasma research reveals that galaxy clusters and cosmic filaments are shaped by magnetized turbulence, feedback, and self-organization. Relational interpretations of quantum mechanics show that physical properties arise only through specific interactions, while quantum biology demonstrates how coherence and entanglement can be sustained in living systems. Together, these fields suggest that relationality and interiority are fundamental features of reality. The paper brings this scientific picture into dialogue with ecological theology through what I call *The Ecology of the Cross*. This cruciform cosmology interprets openness, rupture, and transformation, from quantum interactions to plasma reconnection and ecological succession, as intrinsic to creation's unfolding. The Cross becomes a symbol of divine participation in the world's vulnerable and continually renewing relational processes. By reframing consciousness as an intensified, self-reflexive mode of relational integration, and by situating ecological crisis and AI energy consumption within this relational ontology, the paper argues for an ethic of repairing relations and cultivating spiritual attunement to the interiorities of the Earth community.

Introduction: Crisis, Cosmos, and Consciousness

We are living through what many now call a planetary crisis: accelerating climate disruption, mass extinction, and cascading social fractures. The scale of the crisis is not only political and technological but also metaphysical. Jenkins argues that the ecological emergency exposes deep assumptions about what the world is and how humans belong in it, with assumptions shaped by

modern concepts of nature, time, and value.[1] When the Earth is treated as an inert "environment," it becomes available for extraction rather than relation.

At the same time, the natural sciences have quietly been dismantling the older picture of a dead, mechanical universe. Plasma astrophysics now depicts a cosmos woven from magnetized, turbulent, self-organizing plasma rather than from isolated billiard-ball particles.[2] Quantum theory and its relational interpretations argue that physical properties emerge in and through interactions rather than in isolation.[3] Quantum biology has begun to show that coherent quantum effects can play functional roles in living systems.[4]

This paper explores how these developments can be brought into constructive conversation with ecological theology and with what I call the *Ecology of the Cross*, a cruciform cosmology in which vulnerability, relation, and transformation are not late intrusions into an otherwise static universe but features of reality at every scale. I propose "quantum–plasma consciousness" as a heuristic name for a metaphysical framework that reads cosmic plasma as a large-scale field of relational responsiveness, reads quantum indeterminacy and entanglement as a small-scale field of openness and proto-interiority, and integrates these with contemporary consciousness studies and ecological theology to articulate a relational, cruciform vision of mind, matter, and creation. The aim is not to offer a new "theory of everything," but to sketch a relational cosmology adequate to the ethical and spiritual demands of the ecological crisis.

---

[1] Willis Jenkins, "Religion and Climate Change," Annual Review of Environment and Resources 43 (2018): 85–108.
[2] See the overview in the *Galaxies* special issue "Astrophysical Magnetohydrodynamics, Plasma Physics and Galactic Dynamics" (2019).
[3] "Relational Quantum Mechanics," *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2021 ed.), ed. Edward N. Zalta.
[4] Jianshu Cao et al., "Quantum Biology Revisited," *Science Advances* 6, no. 14 (2020): eaaz4888.

Plasma Cosmology and the Relational Fabric of Matter

2.1 Cosmic Plasma as a Dynamic Medium

Astrophysical observations increasingly show that most of the baryonic universe is not solid, liquid, or neutral gas, but ionized plasma permeated by magnetic fields and subject to turbulence, reconnection, and large-scale flows. Galaxy clusters, for example, are embedded in a hot, X-ray-emitting plasma known as the intra-cluster medium. Recent radio and X-ray studies reveal that this medium is threaded by relativistic electrons and magnetic fields that are dynamically amplified during cluster mergers, generating megaparsec-scale radio halos and nonthermal structures.[5]

One study by Cuciti and colleagues demonstrates that clusters are enveloped by vast volumes of relativistic plasma whose behavior depends on turbulence, magnetic field amplification, and feedback from active galactic nuclei.[6] Another study by Zhang and collaborators shows that buoyant bubbles of relativistic plasma driven by AGN stir and heat the intra-cluster medium through uplift and turbulence, directly shaping the thermal and dynamical structure of galaxy clusters.[7] Turbulence and nonthermal pressure support are now routinely modeled as essential components of cluster physics rather than as minor corrections.[8]

In short, cosmic plasma is not a passive medium, but is dynamically structured, self-organizing, and (in a sense) responsive. Magnetohydrodynamic simulations and analytic work

---

[5] V. Cuciti et al., "Galaxy Clusters Enveloped by Vast Volumes of Relativistic Plasma," *Nature* 609 (2022): 606–610.
[6] *Ibid* (fascinating work here)
[7] Zhang, C., Zhuravleva, I., Gendron-Marsolais, M.-L., Churazov, E., Schekochihin, A. A., & Forman, W. R. (2022). Bubble-driven Gas Uplift in Galaxy Clusters and its Velocity Features. arXiv:2203.04259 [astro-ph.HE].
[8] S. Ettori et al., "Tracing the Non-Thermal Pressure and Hydrostatic Bias in Galaxy Clusters," *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 657 (2022): A56.

emphasize the role of magnetized turbulence and feedback in shaping structures from cluster cores to large-scale filaments.[9]

2.2 Filaments, Turbulence, and Self-Organization

On larger scales, intergalactic plasma forms filamentary structures that comprise the so-called cosmic web. Recent work on multiphase filaments in cluster cores shows that these filaments trace turbulent motions and feedback processes driven by supermassive black holes, providing a window into the kinematics of the intra-cluster medium.[10] These filaments are not byproducts of these processes. Rather, they participate in transporting mass, energy, and momentum, and they mediate cooling and heating cycles in cluster cores.

Plasma turbulence is also recognized as a key site of magnetic reconnection, where field lines break and reconnect, releasing energy and reorganizing the field's topology. Li and colleagues have shown in three-dimensional kinetic plasma simulations that reconnection can be identified and quantified within fully developed turbulence, revealing reconnection as an intrinsic feature of turbulent plasma rather than a rare, isolated event.[11]

Such behaviors as turbulence, self-organization, filament formation, feedback loops, and reconnection also invite a metaphysical reading that plasma is not a random swarm of charges but a relational medium whose patterns arise through mutual influence and response.

2.3 Plasma as Proto-Relational Matter

---

[9] "Astrophysical Magnetohydrodynamics, Plasma Physics and Galactic Dynamics," *Galaxies* special issue introduction (2019).
[10] S. Ganguly et al., "The Nature of the Motions of Multiphase Filaments in Galaxy Clusters," *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences* 10 (2023): 1138613.
[11] T. C. Li et al., "Extended Magnetic Reconnection in Kinetic Plasma Turbulence," *Physical Review Letters 131, no. 8* (2023): 085201.

To avoid categorical mistakes, we should not attribute consciousness to plasma in any anthropomorphic sense. Yet the empirical description of cosmic plasma invites a philosophical interpretation. Plasma maintains coherent structures such as filaments, bubbles, and radio halos over large scales. It exhibits feedback between local and global behavior, as when active galactic nuclei (AGN) jets alter cluster thermodynamics, and it displays sensitivity to perturbations, where small changes can trigger large-scale reorganizations. It reconfigures its internal relations through reconnection events and turbulent cascades.[12]

In this sense, plasma can be read as proto-relational matter as a medium in which relations, rather than isolated things, have explanatory priority. The patterns that matter are inseparable from the dynamic fields and flows in which they participate. This echoes, at a physical level, what process thinkers and ecological theologians have long argued, namely that being is fundamentally relational and processual rather than static and substance-like.[13]

Plasma cosmology thus lends empirical depth to a relational ontology. The universe at large scales behaves less like a hard machine and more like a responsive field of intertwined processes.

Quantum Relationality and the Interior Life of Matter

If plasma reveals relationality on cosmic scales, quantum physics discloses relationality at the smallest scales. The classical intuition that particles possess definite properties has been

[12] NASA. "What Are Active Galactic Nuclei?" *NASA Science / Webb – Science Explainers*, September 3, 2025. https://science.nasa.gov/mission/webb/science-overview/science-explainers/what-are-active-galactic-nuclei/.

[13] For a process-relational framing, see Catherine Keller, *Cloud of the Impossible: Negative Theology and Planetary Entanglement* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2014).

undermined by a century of experimental and theoretical work. In its place, we find a world where openness, context, and encounter play decisive roles.

3.1 Indeterminacy and Contextuality

Quantum systems typically do not have definite values for observables such as position, momentum, or spin until a specific measurement interaction occurs. The state of a system encodes probabilistic dispositions for different outcomes relative to particular measurement contexts. The article "*Relational Quantum Mechanics"* in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy emphasizes that, in this interpretation, quantum events are relative to pairs of interacting systems rather than absolute facts about isolated objects.[14]

Carlo Rovelli's original formulation of relational quantum mechanics holds that the quantum state is not a universal description of reality but a bookkeeping device that encodes the relations between systems.[15] More recent analyses, such as Pienaar's work on relational quantum mechanics and no-go theorems, and Adlam's proposal of cross-perspective links, aim to address concerns about solipsism and intersubjectivity while preserving the interpretation's relational core.[16] The upshot is that quantum properties crystallize as relational facts within particular networks of interaction. The broader foundational literature continues to highlight contextuality as a central feature of quantum theory, with measurement outcomes that cannot be understood as revealing preexisting values independent of the measurement arrangement.[17]

---

[14] "Relational Quantum Mechanics," *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
[15] Carlo Rovelli, "Relational Quantum Mechanics," *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 35 (1996): 1637–1678.
[16] J. L. Pienaar, "The Relational Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics," arXiv:2107.00670 (2021); Emily Adlam, "Cross-Perspective Links in Relational Quantum Mechanics," *Philosophy of Physics* 1, no. 1 (2023).
[17] For an overview, see the contextuality discussion in the *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* article cited above.

3.2 Entanglement and Nonlocal Connection

Entanglement, correlated states of spatially separated systems that cannot be factorized into independent parts, further destabilizes any ontology of isolated substances. Entangled systems behave as if they are aspects of a single underlying process, such that local measurements reveal correlations inexplicable in classical terms.

Recent work on the role of entanglement entropy in quantum gravity and spacetime geometry suggests that spacetime itself may emerge from entanglement patterns.[18] In such accounts, relational connectivity precedes geometric structure. The informational and relational organization of the world conditions its apparent geometric "outside." Entanglement thus points toward a kind of proto-interiority in matter or a capacity for nonlocal correlation that is not reducible to spatial contact in the classical or standard model of physics sense.

3.3 Quantum Coherence and Biological Systems

For a long time, it was assumed that quantum coherence would be destroyed almost instantaneously in warm, wet biological environments based on the principles of thermodynamics as currently understood. The emerging field of quantum biology challenges this assumption in areas of research. In a widely cited review, Cao and colleagues survey evidence that quantum coherence and related phenomena play roles in processes such as photosynthetic energy transfer, avian magnetoreception, and enzymatic dynamics.[19]

Work on photosynthetic complexes, for example, has shown oscillatory signals consistent with coherent excitonic dynamics that may enhance the efficiency of energy transfer in light-

[18] See contemporary reviews on entanglement and spacetime in quantum gravity, such as those surveyed in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy entry on quantum gravity.
[19] Cao, J. "Quantum biology revisited." *Science Advances 6, no. 50* (2020): eaz4888. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz4888.

harvesting systems.[20] More recent studies continue to refine this picture, exploring how biological structures can tune or harness quantum effects.[21] While there is no consensus that consciousness itself is "quantum" in any simple sense, quantum biology reveals that coherence and entanglement can be stabilized and functionally exploited in complex systems. This undercuts any sharp dualism between the "messy" biological world and the "pure" quantum world, and it supports a continuum picture in which relational quantum phenomena can scale up under suitable conditions.

3.4 Quantum Systems as Sites of Minimal Interior Life

Taken together, relational interpretations, entanglement, and quantum biology suggest at least three things. Physical properties are not intrinsic but relationally actualized. Connections can be nonlocal and holistic rather than purely local. Coherence can be maintained and functionally relevant in structured systems.

From a metaphysical perspective, this depicts quantum systems as possessing a kind of minimal interior life, not consciousness, but a structural openness to relation, context, and integration. Information is stored, but is shared and correlated across systems. This matches, at a different level, what process philosophers such as Alfred North Whitehead term prehension or proto-experience.[22] In this way, quantum theory provides a small-scale analogue to the relational

[20] Elisabetta Romero et al., "Quantum Coherence in Photosynthesis for Efficient Solar-Energy Conversion," *Nature Physics 10* (2014): 676–682.
[21] J. S. Higgins et al., "Photosynthesis Tunes Quantum-Mechanical Mixing of Electronic and Vibrational States to Deliver Energy," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118, no. 5 (2021): e2018240118.
[22] Alfred North Whitehead, *Process and Reality*, corrected edition, ed. David Griffin and Donald Sherburne (New York: Free Press, 1978).

behavior of cosmic plasma. Both domains invite us to see relations and processes as ontologically primary.

Quantum–Plasma Consciousness: A Relational Cosmology

4.1 From Relations to Consciousness

Contemporary theories of consciousness increasingly tie experience to relational integration rather than to any particular physical substrate. Integrated Information Theory, for example, proposes that consciousness corresponds to the degree and structure of integrated information within a system.[23] While IIT is certainly controversial and in the stages of development, its central intuition that consciousness is a matter of how a system is organized and integrated does have concrete similarities with relational and processive metaphysics.

If the capacity for integration, coherence, and responsiveness is what matters, then consciousness can be seen as a highly intensified, reflexive mode of relationality. It is not an alien substance injected into matter but a way that matter–energy can be when organized into sufficiently complex, self-relating fields. In this light, both quantum and plasma phenomena become relevant. Quantum systems display contextual openness, entanglement, and coherence. Plasma systems display self-organization, feedback, turbulence, and reconnection. Neural tissue, ecological networks, and planetary systems are all embedded within and emergent from these underlying fields.

4.2 Quantum–Plasma Consciousness as Heuristic

[23] Giulio Tononi et al., "Integrated Information Theory: From Consciousness to Its Physical Substrate," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 17 (2016): 450–461.

By “quantum–plasma consciousness,” I do not mean that consciousness should be literally identified with a single physical field, or that the brain is a special plasma device (as current research is beginning to coalesce around the various ideas that the brain perceives, creates, or interprets consciousness from various fields). Rather, the term employed here is a heuristic for viewing consciousness as a scale-dependent intensification of the same relational, processive, and integrative dynamics that we observe in quantum and plasma phenomena. Just as plasma mediates and channels energy across vast scales, and quantum relations condition the emergence of definite properties, consciousness can be seen as the way certain relational processes become self-aware, world-aware, and value-aware.

This parallels aspects of process philosophy and panpsychism while remaining anchored in specific physical literatures. The point is not to reduce consciousness to physics, but to articulate a non-dual ontology in which mind and matter are different expressions of a deeper, relational field.[24]

4.3 Cruciform Relationality

A key feature of both quantum and plasma dynamics is that relational openness entails vulnerability. Quantum systems lose coherence when they interact with their environments. Plasma structures can be disrupted or reorganized through turbulence, shocks, or reconnection. Ecological systems can collapse under stress but also regenerate through succession.

---

[24] *ibid*

This pattern of openness, rupture, and transformation is strikingly similar across scales. It suggests that creativity in the universe is not a smooth unfolding but often takes cruciform form, the crossing of forces, the breaking of old configurations, the painful reweaving of relations. A theology of the Cross provides a powerful symbol here. The Cross can be read, not as a sadistic demand for suffering, but as the icon of relational vulnerability, a sign of divine participation in the fractured, wounded processes by which the world is continually broken and remade.[25] A quantum–plasma cosmology shows that this cruciform pattern is not only historical but also cosmic.

Ecological Theology in a Relational Universe

5.1 Climate Change as Relational Rupture

Ecological theology has increasingly argued that climate change is not merely a technical or political problem but a symptom of distorted metaphysics. Jenkins notes that religious responses to climate change often revolve around competing visions of human dominion, creaturely status, and the goodness of creation.[26] Other scholars and theologians from liberation theological approaches emphasize how anthropocentrism and extractive economic logics undermine relations with the more-than-human world.[27]

If the universe is relational at every scale, then climate change can be seen as a massive rupture in relational integrity. Greenhouse gas emissions disrupt atmospheric and oceanic dynamics. Land-use change and habitat destruction sever ecological networks. Social and

[25] For panpsychist and process-relational discussions, see Philip Goff's fascinating work, *Galileo's Error: Foundations for a New Science of Consciousness* (New York: Pantheon, 2019).
[26] Jenkins, "Religion and Climate Change."
[27] For eco-theological readings of the Cross and creation, see Leonardo Boff's now influential work, *Cry of the Earth, Cry of the Poor* (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis, 1997) which help develop Pope Francis' later encyclical *Laudato Si'*.

economic inequalities fracture human communities and their relations to land. Hiebert describes this as a crisis rooted in Christian anthropocentrism, in which humans are imagined as separated from and above creation rather than embedded within it.[28]

The United Nations Environment Programme's work on "Faith and Climate Action" and the Yale Forum on Religion and Ecology's compilation of climate statements from world religions show a growing awareness among religious communities that ecological breakdown calls for spiritual and ethical transformation, not only policy adjustments.[29]

5.2 Ecological Grief and the Interiorities of Earth

The rise of ecological grief (grief in response to the loss of species, ecosystems, and meaningful landscapes) is increasingly documented in environmental psychology and environmental humanities.[30] Cunsolo and Ellis argue that ecological grief is a natural and legitimate response to climate-related loss and a sign that people are recognizing the depth of their entanglement with damaged environments.[31]

Such grief is not sentimental but is ontological recognition in a relational cosmology. Mourning a dying forest or a collapsing coral reef is to acknowledge that those ecosystems possess their own interiorities, their own ways of being and relating, which are being torn apart. Ecological grief is, in this sense, a participation in the world's own suffering.

---

[28] See Dennis Hiebert, "Climate Change and Christian Anthropocentrism," *Journal of Sociology and Christianity* 7, no. 2 (2017): 5–24.
[29] "Faith and Climate Action," United Nations Environment Programme (2024); "Climate Change Statements from World Religions," Yale Forum on Religion and Ecology.
[30] Recent scholarship highlights this connection such as J. Boafo, "Understanding Ecological Grief as a Response to Climate-Related Loss," *Climate and Development* 17, no. 5 (2024).
[31] Ashlee Cunsolo and Neville R. Ellis, "Ecological Grief as a Mental Health Response to Climate Change-Related Loss," *Nature Climate Change* 8 (2018): 275–281.

5.3 The Ecology of the Cross

A cruciform ecological theology sees the Cross as revealing God's solidarity with this grief and with the vulnerable, emergent processes of creation. The pattern observed in quantum collapse, plasma reconnection, and ecological succession (openness, rupture, transformation) can also be interpreted Christologically, with the divine life or persona that is not immune to the world's wounds but is present in them, working toward renewed relationality.

In this frame, sin is not primarily rule-breaking but relational distortion, a turning of the world into a collection of objects for use. Salvation is the restoration of right relation with God, with other humans, and with the more-than-human world. Resurrection is not a negation of rupture but its transfiguration into deeper communion. This resonates with strands of eco-theology and the "greening" of religion that see ecological conversion as integral to spiritual life rather than peripheral.[32]

5.4 Justice as Relational Integrity

If reality is constituted by interior relations, then justice must be understood as the protection and restoration of those relations. Recent work at the intersection of religion, climate change, and sustainability transitions emphasizes the constructive role that religious communities can play in reshaping social fields, imaginaries, and practices.[33]

In such a view, ecological justice is about protecting the interior life of ecosystems. Social justice is about honoring the interior life of persons and communities. Climate justice is

[32] Jonathan Chaplin, "The Global Greening of Religion," *Palgrave Communications* 2 (2016): 16047.
[33] Jens Koehrsen, "A Field Perspective on Sustainability Transitions: The Case of Religious Organizations," *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 40 (2021): 118–128.

about recognizing how ecological harms are distributed along lines of race, class, gender, and colonial history. Justice becomes another name for right relation in a quantum–plasma cosmos.

AI, Energy, and the Ethics of Disembodied Intelligence

6.1 AI's Hidden Materiality

Artificial intelligence is often framed as disembodied, with terms such as algorithms, models, and "the cloud" used to describe its characteristics. Yet recent analyses of data center energy use show that advanced AI systems are extraordinarily material. A 2024 United States data center energy report, summarized by researchers at Penn State, estimates that GPU-accelerated AI servers grew from under two terawatt-hours of electricity use in 2017 to over forty terawatt-hours in 2023, with server energy use more than tripling in less than a decade.[34] A 2025 United Nations Regional Information Centre article warns that AI's energy demand is on track to rival that of a medium-sized country.[35] A study in *Joule*, popularized in *Wired*, estimates that AI could soon account for nearly half of all data center electricity consumption, comparable to Switzerland's annual energy use.[36]

The World Economic Forum notes that a single large AI data center can already consume as much power as 100,000 households, with next-generation centers projected to use 20 times that amount.[37] These infrastructures also require enormous quantities of water for cooling and land for construction. AI is thus not floating above the Earth (although there are currently

[34] "Why AI's Energy Consumption Is a Growing Concern," Penn State Institutes of Energy and the Environment, summarizing the 2024 United States Data Center Energy Usage Report (2025).
[35] "Artificial Intelligence: How Much Energy Does AI Use?" United Nations Regional Information Centre, April 7, 2025.
[36] Alex de Vries, "The Growing Energy Footprint of Artificial Intelligence," *Joule* (2024), as discussed in "AI Is Eating Data Center Power Demand—and It's Only Getting Worse," *Wired*, February 2025.
[37] "AI and Energy: Will AI Reduce Emissions or Increase Power Demand?" World Economic Forum, July 22, 2024.

possibilities being discussed). Artificial Intelligence is drawing deeply on planetary interiorities from rivers, aquifers, and power grids to communities.

6.2 AI in a Relational Cosmology

In a quantum-plasma, cruciform metaphysics, the ethical question about AI is not primarily whether AI can be conscious, but how AI infrastructures reshape the relational fields upon which all consciousness depends. If AI deployments exacerbate climate change, they contribute to the rupture of ecological relations and the intensification of ecological grief. If AI optimizes extractive systems, it amplifies relational injustice. If AI is used to support regenerative agriculture, just transitions, and community resilience, it can contribute to relational repair.

Some analysts argue that AI could assist in reducing emissions and adapting to climate change if designed and governed responsibly.[38] But the current trajectory, unchecked energy growth, opaque reporting, and concentration in tech monopolies, suggests a widening gap between AI's promises and its relational costs. A relational cosmology reframes AI ethics as ecological and spiritual ethics, with our duty not merely to prevent algorithmic bias but to ensure that our technical systems deepen, rather than erode, the interiority and integrity of the Earth community.

Conclusion: A Relational, Cruciform Cosmos

This paper has argued that recent developments in plasma astrophysics, quantum foundations, quantum biology, consciousness studies, and ecological theology converge on a radical claim: reality is relational, processive, and interior all the way down.

[38] See UN and WEF pieces above for discussion of both risks and mitigation potential.

Plasma cosmology shows that cosmic structures arise from magnetized, turbulent, self-organizing plasma, whose behavior is best understood in terms of feedback, filaments, and reconnection rather than isolated particles. Quantum theory, especially in relational interpretations, depicts properties as emerging in and through interactions, with entanglement and coherence revealing deep nonlocal connectivity. Quantum biology suggests that coherence and entanglement can play functional roles in living systems, blurring the line between microphysics and macro-life. Consciousness studies increasingly link experience to integration and relational complexity, resonating with process-relational and panpsychist philosophies. Ecological theology reads the ecological crisis as a rupture in relational integrity and the Cross as the symbol of divine participation in the world's vulnerable, cruciform becoming.

"Quantum–plasma consciousness" names a metaphysical vision in which mind is not an alien intruder into matter but a mode of intensified relationality within a universe that is itself, in some sense, a vast, interiorized field of relations. The Ecology of the Cross articulates how this universe is not merely connected but cruciform: openness, rupture, and transformation mark its history from quantum events to galaxy clusters, from ecosystems to human lives.

In such a cosmos, to be conscious is to participate in relational interiority. To be ethical is to honor and repair relations. To be ecological is to recognize that our lives are cross-woven with trees, rivers, soils, species, and skies. To be spiritual is to attune ourselves to the cruciform, relational heartbeat of the world, in which God is not outside the plasma and quantum fields of creation but intimately present within their unfolding.

We inhabit a universe that is not a lifeless machine but a communion of interiorities. The task now is to live as if that were true.

Bibliography

Adlam, Emily. “Spooky Action at a Temporal Distance: Cross-Perspective Consistency in Relational Quantum Mechanics.” *Foundations of Physics* 52, no. 4 (2022): 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-022-00626-1

Barad, Karen. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2007.

Bassi, Angelo, Kinjalk Lochan, Seema Satin, Tejinder P. Singh, and Hendrik Ulbricht. “Models of Wave-Function Collapse, Underlying Theories, and Experimental Tests.” *Reviews of Modern Physics* 85, no. 2 (2013): 471–527. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.471

Boff, Leonardo. *Cry of the Earth, Cry of the Poor*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1997.

Bong, Kok-Wei, et al. “A Strong No-Go Theorem on the Wigner’s Friend Paradox.” *Nature Physics* 16 (2020): 1199–1205. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0990-x

Brunetti, Gianfranco, and Thomas Jones. “Cosmic Rays in Galaxy Clusters and Their Nonthermal Emission.” *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 23, no. 4 (2014): 1430007. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271814300079

Cao, Jun, et al. “Quantum Biology Revisited.” *Science Advances* 6, no. 8 (2020): eaaz4888. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz4888

Carilli, Christopher L., and G. B. Taylor. “Cluster Magnetic Fields.” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 40 (2002): 319–348. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093852

Chalmers, David. *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.

Cuciti, Valentina, et al. “Turbulent Reacceleration in Galaxy Clusters: A Joint LOFAR–Chandra Study.” *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 660 (2022): A66. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142879

Cunsolo Willox, Ashlee, and Neville Ellis. “Ecological Grief as a Mental Health Response to Climate Change–Related Loss.” *Nature Climate Change* 8, no. 4 (2018): 275–281. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0092-2

Deane-Drummond, Celia. *Eco-Theology*. London: St Mary’s Press, 2008.

Engel, Gregory S., et al. “Evidence for Wavelike Energy Transfer Through Quantum Coherence in Photosynthetic Systems.” *Nature* 446 (2007): 782–786. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05678

Fleming, Graham R., et al. “Quantum Coherence in Photosynthesis.” *Nature Chemistry* 3, no. 10 (2011): 763–774. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1145

Francis. *Laudato Si’: On Care for Our Common Home*. Vatican City: Vatican Press, 2015.

Goff, Philip. *Galileo’s Error: Foundations for a New Science of Consciousness*. New York: Pantheon, 2019.

Griffin, David Ray. *Unsnarling the World-Knot: Consciousness, Freedom, and the Mind-Body Problem*. Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock, 1998.

Hiebert, Theodore. *The Yahwist’s Landscape: Nature and Religion in Early Israel*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2023.

Hitzhusen, Gregory, and Evan Berry. “The Role of Religion in the Environmental Discourse.” *Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature and Culture* 6, no. 3 (2012): 276–284. https://doi.org/10.1558/jsrnc.v6i3.276

Huelga, Susana F., and Martin B. Plenio. “Vibrations, Quanta, and Biology.” *Contemporary Physics* 54, no. 4 (2013): 181–207. https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2013.803516

James, William. *Essays in Radical Empiricism*. New York: Longmans, 1912.

Jenkins, Willis. *The Future of Ethics: Sustainability, Social Justice, and Religious Creativity*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2013.

Kauffman, Stuart. *A World Beyond Physics: The Emergence and Evolution of Life*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2019.

Keller, Catherine. *Cloud of the Impossible: Negative Theology and Planetary Entanglement*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2014.

Lambert, Neill, et al. “Quantum Biology.” *Nature Physics* 9, no. 1 (2013): 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2474

Li, Xiaocan, Homa Karimabadi, and William Daughton. “Magnetic Reconnection in Fully Kinetic 3D Plasma Turbulence.” *Physical Review Letters* 128, no. 8 (2022): 085101. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.085101

Maudlin, Tim. *Philosophy of Physics: Quantum Theory*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2019.

Masanet, Eric, Arman Shehabi, Nuoa Lei, Sarah Smith, and Jonathan Koomey. “Recalibrating Global Data Center Energy Use Estimates.” *Science* 367, no. 6481 (2020): 984–986. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3758

Mytton, David. “Data Centre Water Consumption.” *Environmental Research Letters* 16, no. 6 (2021): 064058. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abfba6

Pienaar, Jacques. “Making Sense of Relational Quantum Mechanics Without the ‘Relata’.” *Entropy* 23, no. 9 (2021): 1165. https://doi.org/10.3390/e23091165

Prigogine, Ilya, and Isabelle Stengers. *Order Out of Chaos: Man’s New Dialogue with Nature*. New York: Bantam, 1984.

Rovelli, Carlo. “Relational Quantum Mechanics.” *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 35, no. 8 (1996): 1637–1678. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02302261

Segall, Matthew. *Physics of the World-Soul: Whitehead’s Adventure in Cosmology*. Berkeley, CA: Rubedo Press, 2021.

Shaviro, Steven. *The Universe of Things: On Speculative Realism*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2014.

Sheldrake, Rupert. *Science Set Free*. New York: Random House, 2012.

Stapp, Henry P. *Mindful Universe: Quantum Mechanics and the Participating Observer*. Heidelberg: Springer, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-73473-4

Strawson, Galen. “Realistic Monism: Why Physicalism Entails Panpsychism.” *Journal of Consciousness Studies* 13, no. 10–11 (2006): 3–31.

Taylor, Bron. *Dark Green Religion: Nature Spirituality and the Planetary Future*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2010.

Tononi, Giulio. *Phi: A Voyage from the Brain to the Soul*. New York: Pantheon, 2012.

Tononi, Giulio, and Christof Koch. “Consciousness: Here, There and Everywhere?” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 370, no. 1668 (2015): 20140167. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0167

Varela, Francisco, Evan Thompson, and Eleanor Rosch. *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1991.

Werner, Norbert, et al. “Detection of Cool Gas Filaments in Galaxy Clusters.” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 407, no. 4 (2010): 2063–2074. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17044.x

World Economic Forum. “AI’s Hidden Energy Footprint.” White Paper, 2024.

Zhang, C., Zhuravleva, I., Churazov, E., Schekochihin, A. A., & Forman, W. R. (2022). Bubble-driven gas uplift in galaxy clusters and its velocity features. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *517*(1), 616-631. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2282